

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/666,380	AVNERY, TZVI
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Kishor Mayekar	1753

**All Participants:**

(1) Kishor Mayekar.

(2) Darrel Wong.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Nam Nguyen.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 March 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Informed the Attorney that an Office action mailed on 21 September 2006 however returned back to USPTO on 2 October 2006 as undelivered. The Attorney agrees to file a petition for the remail upon consulting with SPE Nam Nguyen this morning.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)